

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/708,239 | 02/18/2004 | William Douglas Cress JR. | 1372.133.PRC | 2238 | | |
| 21901 75 | 590 07/24/2006 | | EXAMINER · | | | |
| SMITH HOPEN, PA 180 PINE AVENUE NORTH | | | VIVLEMORE, TRACY ANN | | | |
| OLDSMAR, F | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1635 | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|--|----------|---------------------|
| 101708239 | | | | |
| | | <i>*</i> | EXAMINER | |
| | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER |
| | | | | 20060711 |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on May 17, 2006 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s); applicant's arguments regarding the propriety of the previous notice of non-responsive amendment are not persausive. Applicant has argued that the remaining claims are readable on claim 1, which is broader than claim 3, relying on a statement from the written description rejection to support the assertion that the new claims fall within the scope of claim 1. However, as stated in the previous notice, claims directed to up-regulation E2F1 would have been properly restrictable from those directed to down-regulation of E2F1. The disclosure and examples of the specification contemplate only downregulation of E2F1 and examination has been directed to these specific embodiments. See 37 CFR 1.111.

Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

July 11, 2006